

Submission on Proposed Kaipara District Plan

Form 5 Submission on publically notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Kaipara District Council - District Plan Review

Date received: 29/06/2025

Submission Reference Number #:89

This is a submission on the following proposed plan (the **proposal**): Proposed Kaipara District Plan

Submitter:

HWA KIAT LOW

Contact person and address for service:

HWA KIAT LOW 39 JURONG EAST AVENUE 1 #20-04 609776 Singapore

Electronic address for service: mark_low1@yahoo.com.sg

I wish to be heard: No

I am willing to present a joint case: No

Could you gain an advantage in trade competition in making this submission?

- N/A

If you have answered yes to the above question, are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition
- Ń/A

Submission points

Point 89.1

Address:

23 Hinamoki Drive, Kaiwaka

Mapping layer:

Rural lifestyle zone

Submission:

As owner of land, I support the plan for subdivision. Hinamoki is huge and Land subdivision can provide vital opportunities for regional development, economic diversification, and community sustainability. By allowing landowners to subdivide, it becomes possible to create smaller, more manageable properties that can support emerging agricultural ventures, lifestyle blocks, or eco-tourism initiatives, all of which contribute to the local economy. Subdivision also encourages population growth in rural areas, helping to sustain schools, healthcare facilities, and other essential services that often struggle due to declining populations. With appropriate planning and environmental safeguards, rural subdivision can balance growth with the preservation of New Zealand's natural heritage.

Relief sought:

While subdivision is a good idea for the district economy, it is a huge burden to foreign investor like me who has held to this land for more than 10 years and continue to pay high rate without any benefit. My request is to lower rate for land who is vacant and waiting for growth. At least, if the land sub-division were to proceed, rate increase should be effective only after the land is subdivided.